1 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California 2 SANFORD FELDMAN, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 47775 3 California Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266 5 Telephone: (619) 645-2079 Attorneys for Complainant 7 BEFORE THE 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Case No. 11-92-23894 Against: 12 OAH No. L-9506132 MARTIN SAIWONG MOK, M.D. 13 P.O.Box 2096 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT Arcadia, CA 91077 AND DECISION 14 Physician's and Surgeon's 15 Certificate No. A23360 16 Respondent. 17 18 In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of 19 this matter, consistent with the public interest and the responsibility of the Medical Board of California, Department of 20 21 Consumer Affairs ("Board"), the parties hereby agree to the 22 following Stipulated Settlement and Decision which will be 23 submitted to the Board for its approval and adoption as the final 24 disposition of the Accusation. 25 **PARTIES** 26 Complainant Ron Joseph is the Executive Director 1. of the Medical Board of California, and successor to Dixon

Arnett, who brought this action solely in his official capacity. Complainant is represented in this matter by Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General of the State of California, by Sanford Feldman, Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Respondent Martin Saiwong Mok, M.D. ("respondent") is represented in this matter by attorney Darrell Clendenen, whose address is 1215 Pomona Road, Suite A, Corona, CA 91720.
- 3. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A23360.

#### **JURISDICTION**

4. Accusation No. 11-92-23894, was filed before the Board and is currently pending against respondent. The Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents, was duly served on the respondent on May 15, 1995, and respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense (contesting the Accusation). A copy of Accusation No. 11-92-23894 is attached as an Exhibit and incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has fully and completely discussed with his counsel the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and the effects of this stipulation.
- 6. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights and that, but for this Stipulation, he would be entitled: 1) to a

hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; 2) to be represented by counsel, at his own expense, in all proceedings in this matter; 3) to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; 4) to present evidence on his own behalf and to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; 5) to reconsideration and appeal of an adverse decision; and, 6) all other rights accorded pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. With these rights in mind, respondent freely, voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. For the purpose of resolving Accusation No. 11-92-23894 without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, respondent agrees that, at a hearing, complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that respondent, without admitting culpability, hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 9. Respondent agrees that his license is subject to discipline pursuant to section 2234 of the Code. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Order below.

### **CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION**

10. Respondent Martin Saiwong Mok, M.D. has practiced medicine in California for 26 years and never before been the subject of any disciplinary action.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

of the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

12. In consideration of the foregoing stipulations, the parties agree that the Board shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A23360 issued to Martin Saiwong Mok, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five years on the following terms and conditions. Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every

-

U

•

\_ \_

insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

- 1. ACTUAL SUSPENSION As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for sixty (60) days beginning the sixteenth (16th) day after the effective date of this decision.
- 2. <u>COMMUNITY SERVICE FREE SERVICES</u> Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a community service program in which respondent shall provide free non-medical services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least eight (8) hours a month for the first twenty-four months of probation.
- effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division, which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

27 1///

5. ORAL CLINICAL OR WRITTEN EXAM Respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical exam in pain management administered by the Division, or its designee. This examination shall be taken within ninety (90) days after the effective date of this If respondent fails the first examination, respondent decision. shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least three (3) months. If respondent fails to pass the first and second examination, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one (1) year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within eighteen (18) months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. The respondent shall pay the costs of these examinations within ninety (90) days of the administration of each exam. Failure to pay these costs shall constitute a violation of probation.

27 111

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a repeat examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

- 6. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 7. <u>QUARTERLY REPORTS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

9. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS

DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated

physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

10. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-STATE 4 In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should 5 respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent 6 shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of 8 non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not 10 engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of 11 the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an 12

intensive training program approved by the Division or its

designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of

- medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.
- 11. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 12. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing ///

jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 13. COST RECOVERY The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$7,000.00 for its investigative and prosecution costs. Said sum shall be paid in four installments. The first installment shall be in the amount of \$1,000.00 and shall be paid within ninety (30) days of the effective date of this decision. The remaining installments shall be in the amount of \$2,000.00 each and shall be paid, respectively, within 120, 240 and 360 days of the effective date of this decision. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.
- 14. PROBATION COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- 15. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement,

health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Decision. I understand the effect this stipulation will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and agree to be bound thereby. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Decision knowingly, voluntarily, freely and intelligently.

DATED: -7eh 23, 1996.

Respondent

16 17

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 1//

I have fully discussed with respondent Martin Saiwong
Mok, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in
the above Stipulated Settlement and Decision and approve its form
and content.
DATED: Kelevery 26, 1996.
Darrell Clendenen Attorney for Respondent
<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Decision is
hereby respectfully submitted for consideration of the Medical
Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.
DATED: 2-29-96
DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
of the State of California
So-lord Tilo
Sanford Feldman
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant
·

# DECISION AND ORDER OF THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

1

2	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
3	The foregoing Disciplinary Order, in Accusation case
4	No. 11-92-23894, is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of
5	the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.
6	An effective date of May 3 , 1996, has been assigned t
7	this Decision and Order.
8	Made this 3rd day of April , 1996.
9	
10	ANABEL ANDERSON IMBERT President FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA Division of Medical Quality
1.1	
12	
13	Exhibit: Accusation
14	03573160~SD95AD0067
15	cai:\d:\mok.sti.2/9/96
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SHERRY L. LEDAKIS, [State Bar No. 131767] Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2078
	Attorneys for Complainant
	7
8	BEFORE THE
2	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL ROADD OF CAY PROPERTY
10	
11	lt.
12	
13	Against:
14	1
15	Arcadia, California 91077
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A23360
17	Respondent. )
18	
19	COMES NOW complainant Dixon Arnett who, as causes for
20	disciplinary action, alleges:
21	PARTIES
22	1. Complainant is the Executive Director of the
23	Medical Board of California ("Board") and makes and files this
24	Accusation solely in his official capacity.
25	Certificate Status
26	2. On or about July 1, 1969, Physician's and
27	Surgeon's Certificate No. A23360 was issued by the Board to
	to mas issued by the Board to

Martin Saiwong Mok, M.D. ("respondent"), and at all times 1 relevant herein, said Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was, 2 and currently is, in full force and effect. 3 **JURISDICTION** 5 This Accusation is made in reference to the 3. following statutes of the California Business and Professions 6 7 Code ("Code"): 8 Section 2227 provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or 9 10 place on probation, the certificate of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act. 11 12 Section 2234 provides that unprofessional в. conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: 13 14 "(b) Gross negligence. 15 Repeated negligent acts. "(C) 16 "(d) Incompetence." 17 COSTS 18 Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code 4. provides that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary 19 proceeding before any board within the department, the board may 20 request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found 21 to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act 22 23 to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 24 25 1 1 1 26 27

5. Background Information:

A. This case originated from a Business and Professions Code section 805 report filed with the Board by the University of Southern California (USC) University Hospital. The 805 report stated that respondent's clinical privileges had been summarily restricted for twelve months following a medical staff investigation into respondent's patient management of two patients. The hospital required respondent's practice at the hospital to be directly supervised and monitored for twelve months.

## 6. <u>Patient Robert B.</u>:

- A. On or about May 11, 1992, patient Robert B. was a 61-year-old chronic back pain patient. He was admitted to USC University Hospital for a scheduled refill of his Medtronic infusion pump with preservative-free Dilaudid by respondent.
- B. Respondent had difficulty locating the refill site on the pump. An x-ray revealed a change in position of the pump.
- C. The pump was aspirated to remove residual Dilaudid prior to the injection of a new Dilaudid solution. A larger amount of fluid than was expected was obtained through the needle. Eight milliliters of 10 mg. per ml. of Hydromorphone was then injected through the needle in what was believed to be the reservoir. The patient complained of pain. An additional 40 mg. of Lidocaine was administered

through the Huber needle. An intravenous infusion line was established and Naloxone was administered intravenously. Respondent administered Narcan to the patient and transferred him to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) in the care of an associate. Respondent continued to see his other patients.

- E. In the ICU, the patient developed status epilepticus progressing rapidly to shock, respiratory depression, seizures, rhabdomyolysis, renal shutdown, sepsis, coma and death later that day.
- 7. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227 and 2234 on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by sections 2234(b), 2234(c) and 2234(d) of the Code, in that he has committed gross negligence, repeated negligent acts and incompetence in the practice of his profession, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
  - A. Paragraphs 5 and 6, above, are incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
  - B. Respondent is guilty of gross negligence, repeated negligent acts and incompetence in his care and treatment of patient Robert B. Said acts include, but are not limited to, the following:
    - (1) Respondent failed to refill the patient's pump under fluoroscopic guidance when he had some uncertainty as to the refill site;

	(2) Respondent failed to test the aspirated
	2 fluid for glucose prior to attempting the refill;
	(3) Respondent failed to utilize a
•	manufacturer provided template to access the pump;
;	(4) Respondent failed to perform a spot film
6	of the procedure with or without contrast solution; and
7	(5) Respondent failed to stay with the
8	patient during the remainder of the day and prepare for
9	the eventual and inevitable development of seizures,
10	shock and cardiovascular collapse from a massive;
11	overdose.
12	(6) Respondent continued to see his other
13	patients when he knew he had injected a lethal dose of
14	Dilaudid intrathecally to his patient Robert B.
15	PRAYER
16	WHEREFORE, complainant requests that the Board hold a
17	hearing on the matters alleged herein, and that following said
18	hearing, the Board issue a decision:
19	1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and
20	Surgeon's Certificate Number A23360, heretofore issued
21	to respondent Martin Saiwong Mok, M.D.;
22	2. Granting the board its costs in the
23	investigation and prosecution of this case; and
24	
25	
26	
27	

C:\SLL\Mok.acc

Taking such other and further action as the 3. Board deems appropriate to protect the public health, safety and welfare.

DATED: May 15, 1995

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

FILED STATE OF CAUFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA \*\* MENTO \_\_\_\_\_\_19

SEYLAMA\_\_\_\_\_